

1 Corneal biomechanical properties at 2 different corneal collagen cross-linking 3 (CXL) Irradiances

4
5 **Arthur Hammer**¹, **Olivier Richo**¹, **Samuel Mosquera**², **David Tabibian**¹,
6 **Florence Hoogewoud**¹, **Farhad Hafezi**^{1,3}

7
8
9 ¹ Dept. of Ophthalmology, Geneva University Hospitals, Geneva, Switzerland

10 ² Schwind eye-tech Solutions, Kleinostheim, Germany

11 ³ Doheny Eye Institute, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23 Disclosure: **Arthur Hammer**, None; **Olivier Richo**, named co-inventor of PCT/CH 2012/000090 application;
24 **Samuel Mosquera**, None; **David Tabibian**, None; **Florence Hoogewoud**, None; **Farhad Hafezi**, named co-
25 inventor of PCT/CH 2012/000090 application
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 Corresponding author: Farhad Hafezi, MD PhD, Department of Ophthalmology, Geneva University Hospitals, Rue
37 Alcide-Jentzer 22, 1211 Geneva, Switzerland; farhad@hafezi.ch
38
39

40 **ABSTRACT**

41

42 **PURPOSE:** New corneal collagen cross-linking (CXL) devices are capable of using
43 higher UV-A light irradiances than used in original CXL protocols. The Bunsen-
44 Roscoe law states that a photochemical reaction should stay constant if the
45 delivered total energy is kept constant; however, little clinical data are available
46 to support this hypothesis.

47 **METHODS:** We investigated the biomechanical properties of 4 groups (n = 50
48 each) of porcine corneas. Three groups were exposed to riboflavin 0.1 % and
49 UV-A irradiation of equal total energy (3 mW/cm² for 30 minutes, 9 mW/cm² for
50 10 minutes, and 18 mW/cm² for 5 minutes). Controls were exposed to riboflavin
51 0.1% without irradiation. Young's modulus of 5 mm wide corneal strips was used
52 as an indicator of corneal stiffness.

53 **RESULTS:** We observed a decreased stiffening effect with increasing UV-A
54 intensity. Young's modulus at 10% strain showed significant differences between
55 3 mW/cm² and 9 mW/cm² (p=0.002), 3 mW/cm² and 18 mW/cm² (p=0.0002), 3
56 mW/cm² and the control group (p<0.0001), 9 mW/cm² and the control group
57 (p=0.015). There was no difference between 18 mW/cm² and the control group (p =
58 0.064) and between 9 mW/cm² and 18 mW/cm² (p=0.503).

59 **CONCLUSIONS:** The biomechanical effect of CXL decreased significantly when using
60 high irradiance/short irradiation time settings. Intrastromal oxygen diffusion
61 capacity and increased oxygen consumption associated with higher irradiances
62 may be a limiting factor leading to reduced treatment efficiency.

63

64

65 **Key words:** corneal collagen cross-linking, high irradiance, oxygen, efficiency, biomechanics

66

67 **INTRODUCTION**

68 Corneal collagen cross-linking (CXL) with riboflavin and UV-A is a treatment modality
69 for keratoconus that was first developed in Dresden, Germany in 1998.^{1,2} Per the
70 typical cross-linking protocol, 0.1% riboflavin solution with 20% dextran is added to
71 the de-epithelialized cornea and then photoactivated with ultraviolet-A (UV-A) light at
72 365 nm with irradiance of 3 mW/cm² for 30 minutes. The cornea is de-epithelialized
73 to allow adequate penetration of riboflavin into the corneal stroma. Riboflavin acts as
74 photosensitizer; it creates free radicals, forms new molecular crosslinks, and
75 ultimately increases the cornea's mechanical strength.³⁻⁵ The effect of treatment can
76 be assessed postoperatively using the Ocular Response Analyzer (Reichert Inc,
77 Buffalo, NY). The depth of treatment can be measured by the demarcation line,
78 which usually appears at ten to fourteen days after CXL.⁶ The success rate of the
79 method at stabilizing keratoconus is higher than 95% and can be monitored using
80 corneal topography. Unfortunately, the method cannot be used in patients with very
81 thin corneas.⁷⁻⁹

82 CXL experienced a rapid transition from laboratory procedure to clinical
83 intervention because of the method's apparent safety and broad array of potential

84 applications. Early clinical results were reported only 2 years after animal studies. ¹⁶
85 One such clinical application is the treatment of keratoconus. Keratoconus is a
86 degenerative disorder of the eye associated with thinning and subsequent bulging of
87 the cornea, causing poor vision.¹⁰ CXL stops the progression of keratoconus in
88 patients with mild disease, presumably by strengthening the cornea and preventing
89 further bulging.¹⁰ CXL has also been used successfully in the treatment of pellucid
90 marginal degeneration¹¹, to stabilize early stage keratoconus¹²⁻¹⁵, and to treat
91 iatrogenic (postoperative) ectasia.^{16,17} CXL is currently in use in over 100 countries..

92 The Bunsen-Roscoe law indicates that a photochemical reaction will stay
93 constant if the total energy is constant: a shortened irradiation time at higher
94 irradiance should lead to the same increase in biomechanical stiffness as a longer
95 irradiation time at lower irradiance. By applying this theoretical law of photochemistry
96 and in an effort to reduce clinical treatment times, some groups have modified the
97 original method to apply higher irradiances over shorter times though maintaining the
98 same total applied energy. Commercial devices are now available to deliver CXL
99 treatment doses as high as 45 mW/cm² shortening the treatment time to as little as 2

100 minutes. Despite availability of such devices and increased use in the clinic, a
101 thorough validation of this modified approach has not yet been published.

102 Young's modulus is commonly used to characterize the stiffness of an elastic
103 material. The Young's modulus of the material indicates its stiffness at a given force
104 and related strain . A greater Young's modulus is associated with more resistance to
105 applied forces. It can be determined by measuring the change in length of a material
106 under a tensile load (% strain). Young's modulus is calculated as the ratio of stress
107 (pressure) to strain (dimensionless) applied to the material, and so has units of
108 pressure. For reference, the Young's modulus of the tympanic membrane varies from
109 34 to 59 Mpa.¹⁸ We evaluated corneal stiffness using Young's modulus
110 measurements. The limits of Bunsen-Roscoe energy reciprocity were evaluated
111 using different CXL irradiance – time settings, with a constant total fluence of 5.4
112 J/cm².

113

114 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

115 **Corneal collagen cross-linking (CXL)**

116 CXL was performed as described previously.¹⁹ Briefly, freshly enucleated pig eyes
117 with intact epithelium were obtained from a slaughterhouse and randomly assorted

118 into four different treatment groups (n=50 for each group). Prior to UV-A irradiation,
119 the epithelium was removed using a hockey knife, corneas were saturated with 0.1%
120 riboflavin drops (StreuliPharma AG, Uznach, Switzerland) every minute for 25
121 minutes and the epi-off CXL procedure was performed using the Schwind CCL-365
122 Vario system (Schwind eye-tech-solutions GmbH & Co. Kleinostheim, Germany) All
123 corneas were irradiated on a diameter of 11.3 mm using a total energy dose of 5.4
124 J/cm². Group 1 was irradiated with 3 mW/cm² for 30 minutes. Group 2 was irradiated
125 with 9 mW/cm² for 10 minutes. Group 3 was irradiated with 18 mW/cm² for 5 minutes.
126 Un-irradiated corneas served as controls (group 4).

127 **Biomechanical measurements**

128 Corneas from the four groups were allowed to rest in a wet chamber for 30 min after
129 UV or sham UV treatment. The corneas were then excised and a 5 mm x 10 mm
130 nasal-temporal oriented corneal strip was prepared. The Young's modulus at 10%
131 strain was determined using an extensometer (Zwick-Line Testing Machine Z 0.5,
132 Zwick, Ulm, Germany). Data analysis was performed using the Xpert II-Testing
133 Software for Static Testing Systems (Zwick, Ulm, Germany).

134

135 **Statistical analysis**

136 Data were analyzed with Xlstat 2013 for Windows (Addinsoft, version 2013.4.03). All
137 data are expressed as the mean \pm standard deviation (SD). Normal distribution of
138 data was evaluated by the Shapiro-Wilk test. The Young's modulus of all different
139 groups was compared using the non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis one-way analysis of
140 variance (ANOVA). When significant, we proceeded to the non-parametric Mann-
141 Whitney test of the null hypothesis (H_0 = populations are the same).. A p value less
142 than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

143

144

145 **RESULTS**

146 The average Young's modulus was determined for each of the four groups and
147 percentage strains (Table 1). Young's modulus of corneas that underwent CXL
148 decreased with increasing UV light irradiance. The average Young's modulus at 10%
149 strain was 11.54 Mpa (+/- 3.02) for the control group, 15.85 Mpa (+/- 3.96) for the 3
150 mW/cm² group, 13.48 Mpa (+/- 3.56) for the 9 mW/cm² group, and 12.90 Mpa (+/-
151 3.86) for the 18 mW/cm² group, respectively (Table 1 and Figure 1).

152 At 10% strain, Young's modulus showed a significant global difference between
153 groups was found according to the non-parametric Krsukal-Kallis test for the 4
154 groups ($p < 0.0001$). The p-values for the non-parametric Mann-Withney tests
155 comparing two groups indicated significant differences between 3 mW/cm² and 9
156 mW/cm² ($p = 0.002$), 3 mW/cm² and 18 mW/cm² ($p = 0.0002$), 3 mW/cm² and the
157 control group ($p < 0.0001$), 9 mW/cm² and the control group ($p = 0.015$) and 18
158 mW/cm² and the control group ($p = 0.064$). There was no difference in the Young's
159 modulus of the 9 mW/cm² and 18 mW/cm² groups ($p = 0.503$) in the 10% strain group
160 (Table 2).

161

162 **DISCUSSION**

163 The efficiency of CXL decreased significantly as UV-A light irradiances increased
164 from 3 to 18 mW/cm². Indeed, corneas treated with the highest tested irradiance (18
165 mW/cm² for 5 minutes) had stiffness that was indistinguishable from untreated
166 controls (Table 2). Higher light irradiances were associated with lower Young's
167 modulus at each percentage strain tested.

168 Wernli *et al.* evaluated Young's modulus using the same total energy fluence and
169 riboflavin concentration as in our study.²⁰ They also observed a decrease in Young's
170 modulus for high irradiances, but only at irradiances exceeding 50 mW/cm². These
171 differences might be explained by several factors.. First, the groups had different
172 sizes (10 eyes/group versus 50 eyes/group), second, the biomechanical
173 measurements were performed at different times; Wernli and colleagues took
174 measurements at 30 minutes after starting irradiation, regardless of irradiation time.²⁰
175 By contrast, we consistently performed measurements at 30 minutes after the end of
176 irradiation. Another difference is that Wernli and colleagues kept corneas immersed
177 in the riboflavin solution.²⁰ This extended exposure to riboflavin likely increased the
178 amount of riboflavin penetration and subsequent different cross-linking activity.

179 Also, we observed a Young's modulus that was approximately a factor 2 larger
180 than in the Wernli study. Several factors might be responsible for these differences.
181 First, the machines for biomechanical measurements were not the same (Zwick Z 0.5
182 vs MINIMAT; Stretton Shropshire) and second, the methods were slightly different
183 (time before biomechanical testing, length of the corneal strips 10 mm vs 7 mm).

184 Other, yet unidentified aspects might have further influenced the differences
185 observed.

186 Lastly, the Wernli study was performed using a beam-optimized device (UV-X
187 2000, IROC Innocross, Zurich, Switzerland). This device tends to deliver a more
188 homogeneous energy profile to the cornea.²¹ In our experiments, a device delivering
189 a less homogeneous distribution of energy with respect to corneal curvature was
190 used (CXL 365 Vario, Schwind eyetech solutions, Kleinostheim, Germany). One
191 might speculate that the differences between the studies might be due to this
192 variation in energy distribution. We do not believe that this is the case: the main
193 interest in both studies was to assess relative differences in the cross-linking effect
194 between the current gold standard (3 mW/cm² for 30 minutes) and accelerated
195 settings.

196 In a recent study, Beshtawi et al. analyzed ex vivo human corneas using
197 Scanning Acoustic Microscopy (SAM) to determine stiffness following irradiation at 3
198 and 9 mW/cm². Similar to our results, they found a significant increase in stiffness at
199 both settings when compared to controls.²² In contrast to our findings, they did not
200 see significant differences between both settings. Several factors might explain this

201 discrepancy: the tissues were different between the Beshtawi study (human corneas)
202 and our experiments (porcine corneas). Also, we performed stress-strain
203 measurements, whereas Beshtawi and colleagues used SAM. Without a doubt, the 9
204 mW/cm² for 10 minutes setting provides cross-links to the cornea and clinical
205 validation is needed to better understand the results of both studies.

206 Oxygen levels in the cornea are related to the oxygen diffusion flux and local
207 oxygen uptake.²³ Corneal oxygen levels decrease during CXL, presumably due to the
208 transformation of oxygen into reactive oxygen species.²⁴ The reactive species are
209 thought to catalyze the creation of covalent bonds between collagen and
210 proteoglycan molecules, stiffening the cornea.⁵ Oxygen seems to be essential to this
211 process and is probably the rate-limiting substrate in the photochemical reaction. We
212 have previously shown that corneas treated in a low oxygen state using an irradiance
213 of 9 mW/cm² for 10 minutes exhibit a Young's modulus similar to that of untreated
214 controls.¹⁹ High UV-A irradiances would be expected to have higher oxygen
215 utilization rates. If oxygen conversion to free radicals outpaces oxygen replenishment
216 by diffusion, , the local oxygen levels would fall and collagen cross-linking would be
217 compromised.²⁴ This would result in lower measured Young's modulus. Our findings

218 support this hypothesis and are in agreement with previously reported data. ²⁴

219 Alternatively, other yet unknown mechanisms might also contribute to the

220 biomechanical results observed.

221 In conclusion, we report a steady and significant decline in the biomechanical

222 response (“ stiffening “) of ex vivo corneas with increasing irradiance and decreased

223 treatment times. This may indicate that the Bunsen-Roscoe law knows limitations in

224 an *in vivo* setup: and cannot be simply applied to the cornea. Whether or not the

225 decline in biomechanical stiffness will be clinically relevant remains to be validated in

226 clinical trials using high-irradiance CXL.

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236 **Acknowledgments**

237 The authors thank Michael Sapko, MD, PhD, for revising grammar and style of the

238 manuscript.

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249 **References**

- 250
- 251 1. Spoerl E, Huhle M, Seiler T. Induction of cross-links in corneal tissue. *Exp Eye Res*,
252 1998; 66(1): 97-103.
- 253 2. Spoerl E, Huhle M, Kasper M, Seiler T. [Increased rigidity of the cornea caused by
254 intrastromal cross-linking]. *Ophthalmologe*, 1997; 94(12): 902-6.
- 255 3. Wollensak G, Spoerl E, Seiler T. Stress-strain measurements of human and porcine
256 corneas after riboflavin-ultraviolet-A-induced cross-linking. *J Cataract Refract Surg*,
257 2003; 29(9): 1780-5.
- 258 4. Spoerl E, Seiler T. Techniques for stiffening the cornea. *J Refract Surg*, 1999; 15(6):
259 711-3.
- 260 5. Brummer G, Littlechild S, McCall S, Zhang Y, Conrad GW. The Role of Non-
261 Enzymatic Glycation and Carbonyls in Collagen Cross-Linking for the Treatment of
262 Keratoconus. *Investigative ophthalmology & visual science*, 2011.
- 263 6. Seiler T, Hafezi F. Corneal cross-linking-induced stromal demarcation line. *Cornea*,
264 2006; 25(9): 1057-9.
- 265 7. Hafezi F. Limitation of collagen cross-linking with hypotonic riboflavin solution:
266 failure in an extremely thin cornea. *Cornea*, 2011; 30(8): 917-9.
- 267 8. Kolli S, Aslanides IM. Safety and efficacy of collagen crosslinking for the treatment of
268 keratoconus. *Expert opinion on drug safety*, 2010; 9(6): 949-57.
- 269 9. Spoerl E, Mrochen M, Sliney D, Trokel S, Seiler T. Safety of UVA-riboflavin cross-
270 linking of the cornea. *Cornea*, 2007; 26(4): 385-9.
- 271 10. Raiskup F, Spoerl E. Corneal crosslinking with riboflavin and ultraviolet A. I.
272 Principles. *Ocul Surf*, 2013; 11(2): 65-74.
- 273 11. Schnitzler E, Spoerl E, Seiler T. [Irradiation of cornea with ultraviolet light and riboflavin
274 administration as a new treatment for erosive corneal processes, preliminary results
275 in four patients]. *Klin Monbl Augenheilkd*, 2000; 217(3): 190-3.
- 276 12. Caporossi A, Mazzotta C, Baiocchi S, Caporossi T. Long-term results of riboflavin
277 ultraviolet a corneal collagen cross-linking for keratoconus in Italy: the Siena eye
278 cross study. *Am J Ophthalmol*, 2010; 149(4): 585-93.
- 279 13. Hashemi H, Seyedian MA, Mirafteb M, Fotouhi A, Asgari S. Corneal collagen cross-
280 linking with riboflavin and ultraviolet a irradiation for keratoconus: long-term results.
281 *Ophthalmology*, 2013; 120(8): 1515-20.
- 282 14. Raiskup-Wolf F, Hoyer A, Spoerl E, Pillunat LE. Collagen crosslinking with riboflavin
283 and ultraviolet-A light in keratoconus: long-term results. *J Cataract Refract Surg*,
284 2008; 34(5): 796-801.
- 285 15. Wollensak G, Spoerl E, Seiler T. Riboflavin/ultraviolet-a-induced collagen crosslinking
286 for the treatment of keratoconus. *Am J Ophthalmol*, 2003; 135(5): 620-7.
- 287 16. Hafezi F, Kanellopoulos J, Wiltschko R, Seiler T. Corneal collagen crosslinking with
288 riboflavin and ultraviolet A to treat induced keratectasia after laser in situ
289 keratomileusis. *J Cataract Refract Surg*, 2007; 33(12): 2035-40.
- 290 17. Richoz O, Mavranakos N, Pajic B, Hafezi F. Corneal Collagen Cross-Linking for
291 Ectasia after LASIK and Photorefractive Keratectomy: Long-Term Results.
292 *Ophthalmology*, 2013.
- 293 18. Luo H, Dai C, Gan RZ, Lu H. Measurement of young's modulus of human tympanic
294 membrane at high strain rates. *J Biomech Eng*, 2009; 131(6): 064501.
- 295 19. Richoz O, Hammer A, Tabibian D, Gatziofias Z, Hafezi F. Corneal collagen cross-
296 linking (CXL) with riboflavin and UV-A is oxygen-dependent. *TVST*, (in press).
- 297 20. Wernli J, Schumacher S, Spoerl E, Mrochen M. The efficacy of corneal cross-linking
298 shows a sudden decrease with very high intensity UV light and short treatment time.
299 *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*, 2013; 54(2): 1176-80.

- 300 21. Koller T, Schumacher S, Fankhauser F, 2nd, Seiler T. Riboflavin/ultraviolet a
301 crosslinking of the paracentral cornea. *Cornea*, 2013; 32(2): 165-8.
- 302 22. Beshtawi IM, Akhtar R, Hillarby MC *et al*. Biomechanical properties of human corneas
303 following low- and high-intensity collagen cross-linking determined with scanning
304 acoustic microscopy. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*, 2013; 54(8): 5273-80.
- 305 23. Freeman RD. Oxygen consumption by the component layers of the cornea. *J Physiol*,
306 1972; 225(1): 15-32.
- 307 24. Kamaev P, Friedman MD, Sherr E, Muller D. Photochemical kinetics of corneal cross-
308 linking with riboflavin. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*, 2012; 53(4): 2360-7.
- 309
310

311 **Table 1.** Young's Modulus at various UV-A light irradiances

312

Young's Modulus (MPa)				
UV-A light irradiance				
	Untreated Control	3 mW/cm ² for 30 min	9 mW/cm ² for 10 min	18 mW/cm ² for 5 min
% strain 10	11.54	15.85	13.49	12.89
STDEV 10	3.02	3.96	3.56	3.86
Kruskal- Wallis P-value	< 0.0001			

313

314

315

316 **Table 2:** P-values resulting from individual Mann-Whitney tests between Young's
317 Modulus at various UV-A light irradiances (* = Significant)

318

P value	Untreated Control	3 mW/cm² for 30 min	9 mW/cm² for 10 min	18 mW/cm² for 5 min
Untreated Control	--	< 0.0001*	0.015*	0.064
3 mW/cm² for 30 min	< 0.0001*		0.002*	0.0002*
9 mW/cm² for 10 min	0.015*	0.002*		0.503
18 mW/cm² for 5 min	0.064	0.0002*	0.503	

319

320 **FIGURE 1.** Young's Modulus by 10 % of strain at different UV-A light irradiances.
321 Legend: : Control group (blue), 3 mW/cm² of irradiance (red), 9 mW/cm² of irradiance
322 (green) and 18 mW/cm² of irradiance (purple).

323

324

325

326

327

328

■ 3 mW/cm² ■ 9 mW/cm² ■ 18 mW/cm² ■ no CXL

